Quo Vadis Secundum COVID?

Regulatory Framework in Shipping



John Kokarakis PhD

Technical Director Bureau Veritas



IMO & Shipping are under pressure to act



Without shipping half the world will freeze and the other half will starve

 \rightarrow Civil society movement

 \rightarrow Poseidon principles – Green bonds



ECAs NECAs & Potential ones





NOx limits in NECAs





Compliance Options – Which Option to Follow?





Area	Sulphur limit	Scrubbers		
Global	0.50% (2020)	Local restrictions may apply for open-loop scrubbers		
SECA	0.10%	Yes		
EU	0.10% in all ports	Open-loop scrubbers restricted in some countrie		
China	0.50% in national waters (12 nm)	Certain restrictions apply for open-loop scrubbers		
California	0.10% within 24 nm	No, only with research exemption		

The scrubber solution: a financial-based decision



Heavy Fuel Oil + Scrubber or Marine Gas Oil max 0.1% Sulphur



IMO levels of ambition



- Reduction of CO_2 emissions per transport work (carbon intensity), as an average across global shipping fleet, by at least 40% by 2030, pursuing efforts towards 70% by 2050, compared to 2008
- Reduction of total annual GHG emissions from international shipping by at least 50% by 2050 compared to 2008, while, at the same time, pursuing efforts towards phasing them out by 2100 consistent with the Paris Agreement goals.



Emissions from shipping between 2012 and 2018 (thousands tons)



 \rightarrow Honeymoon lasted from 2008-2012

- \rightarrow Slow steaming- Larger ships
- \rightarrow We need a revolution to reach target

The share of shipping emissions in global emissions has increased from 2.76% in 2012 to 2.89% in 2018.

	2012	2012	2018	2018	
	Vessel-based	Voyage-based	Vessel-based	Voyage-based	
CO2	848,000	701,000	919,000	740,000	
CH ₄	59	55	148	140	
N ₂ O	47	39	51	41	
SO ₂	10,800	9,100	11,400	9,600	
NOx	19,700	16,900	20,200	17,100	
PM _{2.5}	1,527	1,304	1,589	1,351	
BC	73	59	79	62	
NMVOC	790	674	861	725	
со	742	628	829	692	







Required DWT dependent A(DWT)^B

> Attained MCR, Capacity, fuel Speed, Consumption

EEXI important parameters









Carbon Intensity Indicator concept



 $CII = f \frac{CO2 \ Emissions}{CapacityxDistance}$

Capacity can be defined differently for ship type or per chosen indicator

possible CIIs



For Bulkers/tankers Capacity=Deadweight

CII Reference lines & rating boundaries



✓ CII reference lines: no changes vs CG and ISWG GHG 8

		Ship type		Capacity	а	С
Bulk carrier	-			DWT*	4977	0.626
Gas carrier	65,000 and above		DWT	2384E7	1.910	
Gas carrier	less tha	in 65,000 DWT		DWT* 4977 DWT 2384E7 DWT 8032 DWT 5118 DWT 5118 DWT 1963 DWT 61293 DWT 361 DWT 6736 DWT 151991 DWT 9.860 DWT** 1966E10 _GT 5831 DWT 15958	0.638	
Tanker				DWT 5118		0.607
Container ship)			DWT 1963		0.487
General cargo ship		20,000 DWT and above		DWT	61293	0.854
		less than 20,000 DWT		DWT	361	0.336
Refrigerated c	argo car	rier		DWT 6736		0.599
Combination of	arrier			DWT 151991		0.930
LNG carrier 10	100,000 DWT and above		DWT	9.860	0	
	less tha	n 100,000 DWT		DWT**	1966E10	2.498
Ro-ro cargo sl	hip (vehi	cle carrier)		_GT 5831		_0.633
Ro-ro cargo sl	-ro cargo ship DWT 15958		15958	0.677		
Ro-ro passenç	-ro passenger ship GT 7691		7691	0.586		
Cruise passen	ger ship GT 904		0.380			

CII rating boundaries: no changes vs CG and ISWG GHG 8



EU Fit for 55 JULY 2021



Reduce EU net GHG emissions by at least 55% by 2030, compared to 1990 levels

Main parts of the package that should impact maritime sector:

- > The extension of Emissions Trading System (ETS) to include maritime sector
- The Fuel EU Maritime initiative



EU/ETS Maritime





LIFE CYCLE ASSESSMENT OF EMISSIONS





SLIPPAGE & FUGITIVE EMISSIONS EQUIVALENT CO₂ EMISSIONS



$$CO_{2eq,TtW,j} = \left(C_{cf\ CO_{2},j} \times GWP_{CO_{2}} + C_{cf\ CH_{4},j} \times GWP_{CH_{4}} + C_{cf\ N_{2}O_{j}} \times GWP_{N_{2}O}\right)_{i}$$

$$CO_{2eq,TtW\ slippage,j} = \left(C_{sf\ CO_{2},j} \times GWP_{CO_{2}} + C_{sf\ CH_{4},j} \times GWP_{CH_{4}} + C_{sf\ N_{2}O_{j}} \times GWP_{N_{2}O}\right)_{i}$$



Gas	SAR	TAR	AR4	
CO ₂	1	1	1	
CH ₄	21	23	25	
N ₂ O	310	296	298	

Long term viability of LNG as fuel









SHAPING THE FUTURE



<u>marine-offshore.bureauveritas.com</u> <u>john.kokarakis@bureauveritas.com</u>